

Job Seeker Compliance Data – June Quarter 2018

Table of Contents:

Part A	4
1 – Number of job seekers - as at 30 June 2018	4
2 – Job seekers with a Vulnerability Indicator - as at 30 June 2018	4
3 – Attendance at Appointments - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	4
3 a – Attendance at Appointments with employment services providers - 1 April to 30 June 2018	4
3 b – Attendance at Appointments with employment services providers - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	5
3 c – Attendance at Re-engagement Appointments with employment services providers - 1 April to 30 June 2018	5
3 d – Attendance at Re-engagement Appointments with employment services providers - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	5
4 – Income Support payment suspensions for non-attendance at appointments/activities - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	6
4 a – Income Support payment suspensions for non-attendance at appointments/activities – 1 April to 30 June 2018	6
4 b – Income Support payment suspensions for non-attendance at appointments/activities – 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	6
5 – Numbers of Non-Attendance Reports, Participation Reports and Provider Appointment Reports - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	7
5 a – Numbers of Non-Attendance Reports, Participation Reports and Provider Appointment Reports – 1 April to 30 June 2018	7
5 b – Numbers of Non-Attendance Reports, Participation Reports and Provider Appointment Reports – 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	8
6 – Reasons for providers reporting non-compliance to the Department of Human Services - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	9
6 a – Reasons for providers reporting non-compliance to the Department of Human Services – 1 April to 30 June 2018	9
6 b – Reasons for providers reporting non-compliance to the Department of Human Services – 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	9
7 – Department of Human Services responses to Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	10
7 a – Department of Human Services responses to Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports - 1 April to 30 June 2018	10
7 b – Department of Human Services responses to Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	10
7 c – Participation Failures applied by the Department of Human Services due to unacceptable reasons given by job seekers for non-attendance - 1 April to 30 June 2018	12
7 d – Participation Failures applied by the Department of Human Services due to unacceptable reasons given by job seekers for non-attendance - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	13
7 e – Department of Human Services' reasons for applying Provider Appointment Reports or Participation Reports - 1 April to 30 June 2018	14
7 f – Department of Human Services' reasons for applying Provider Appointment Reports or Participation Reports - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	15
7 g – Department of Human Services' reasons for rejecting Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports - 1 April to 30 June 2018	16
7 h – Department of Human Services' reasons for rejecting Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	16

7 i – Department of Human Services’ reasons for rejecting Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports: Reasonable Excuse - 1 April to 30 June 2018	17
7 j – Department of Human Services’ reasons for rejecting Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports: Reasonable Excuse - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	17
8 – Number of Compliance Reports Submitted per job seeker - as at 30 June 2018	18
9 – Applied Participation Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018, and in past 12 months as at 30 June 2018	19
10 – Types of Participation Failures	19
10 a – Types of Participation Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018	19
10 b – Types of Participation Failures - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	20
10 c – Types of Participation Failures: Serious Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018	20
10 d – Types of Participation Failures: Serious Failures - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	20
11 – Outcomes of Comprehensive Compliance Assessments (CCAs) - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	21
11 a – Outcomes of Comprehensive Compliance Assessments (CCAs) – 1 April to 30 June 2018	21
11 b – Outcomes of Comprehensive Compliance Assessments (CCAs) – 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	21
12 – Sanctions for Serious Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	22
12 a – Sanctions for Serious Failures – 1 April to 30 June 2018	22
12 b – Sanctions for Serious Failures – 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	22
Part B	23
13 – Financial Penalties, Connection Failures, Income Support Payment Suspensions and CCAs: by Gender - 1 April to 30 June 2018	23
13 a – Non-Payment Periods (NPPs) - 1 April to 30 June 2018	23
13 b – Short Term Financial Penalties and Total Financial Penalty Summary - 1 April to 30 June 2018	24
13 c – Connection Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018	25
13 d – Income Support Payment Suspensions - 1 April to 30 June 2018	25
13 e – Comprehensive Compliance Assessment (CCA) - 1 April to 30 June 2018	26
14 – Financial Penalties, Connection Failures, Income Support Payment Suspensions and CCAs by Indigenous Status - 1 April to 30 June 2018	26
14 a – Non Payment Periods (NPPs) - 1 April to 30 June 2018	26
14 b – Short Term Financial Penalties and Total Financial Penalty Summary - 1 April to 30 June 2018	27
14 c – Connection Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018	28
14 d – Income Support Payment Suspensions - 1 April to 30 June 2018	28
14 e – Comprehensive Compliance Assessments - 1 April to 30 June 2018	29
15 – Financial Penalties, Connection Failures, Income Support Payment Suspensions and CCAs by Age Group - 1 April to 30 June 2018	29
15 a – Non-Payment Periods (NPPs) - 1 April to 30 June 2018	29
15 b – Short Term Financial Penalties and Total Financial Penalty Summary - 1 April to 30 June 2018	30
15 c – Connection Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018	31
15 d – Income Support Payment Suspensions - 1 April to 30 June 2018	31

15 e – Comprehensive Compliance Assessments - 1 April to 30 June 2018	33
16 – Financial Penalties, Connection Failures, Income Support Payment Suspensions and CCAs by Allowance Types - 1 April to 30 June 2018	33
16 a – Non-Payment Periods (NPPs) - 1 April to 30 June 2018	33
16 b – Short Term Financial Penalties and Total Financial Penalty Summary - 1 April to 30 June 2018	34
16 c – Connection Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018	35
16 d – Income Support payment suspensions - 1 April to 30 June 2018	35
16 e – Comprehensive Compliance Assessments - 1 April to 30 June 2018	36
17 – Financial Penalties, Connection Failures, Income Support Payment Suspensions and CCAs by Employment Services - 1 April to 30 June 2018	36
17 a – Non Payment Periods (NPPs) - 1 April to 30 June 2018	36
17 b – Short Term Financial Penalties and Total Financial Penalty Summary - 1 April to 30 June 2018	37
17 c – Connection Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018	38
17 d – Income Support Payment Suspensions - 1 April to 30 June 2018	38
17 e – Comprehensive Compliance Assessments (CCA) - 1 April to 30 June 2018	39
Glossary	40

Part A

1 – Number of job seekers - as at 30 June 2018

Active job seekers		Other job seekers: Temporary exemption	Other job seekers: Reduced work capacity	Other job seekers: Approved activity	Other Job Seekers: Total		Total job seekers
No.	%	No.	No.	No.	No.	%	No.
633,790	73%	116,228	29,833	90,425	236,486	27%	870,276

'Total job seekers' comprises 'Active job seekers' who currently need to use employment services (i.e. jobactive, Disability Employment Services (DES), the Community Development Programme (CDP) or the Transition to Work (TTW) Service), as well as 'Other job seekers' who have a 'Temporary exemption', 'Reduced work capacity' or are undertaking an 'Approved activity' - refer to 'Glossary' for further explanation of these terms.

Note: As TTW participants are not subject to the same job seeker compliance framework as other activity tested job seekers they are not included elsewhere in this report.

2 – Job seekers with a Vulnerability Indicator - as at 30 June 2018

Number of job seekers with a Vulnerability Indicator	% of all job seekers
43,926	5%

3 – Attendance at Appointments - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

3 a – Attendance at Appointments with employment services providers - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Appointments Attended		Appointments Not Attended: Valid reason	Appointments Not Attended: Invalid reason	Appointments Not Attended: Discretion	Appointments Not Attended: Total		Total Appointments
No.	%	%	%	%	No.	%	No.
2,393,489	71%	10%	15%	4%	956,586	29%	3,350,075

3 b – Attendance at Appointments with employment services providers - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

Appointments Attended		Appointments Not Attended: Valid reason	Appointments Not Attended: Invalid reason	Appointments Not Attended: Discretion	Appointments Not Attended: Total		Total Appointments
No.	%	%	%	%	No.	%	No.
9,618,281	71%	10%	15%	4%	3,916,382	29%	13,534,663

3 c – Attendance at Re-engagement Appointments with employment services providers - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Appointments Attended		Appointments Not Attended: Valid reason	Appointments Not Attended: Invalid reason	Appointments Not Attended: Total		Total Appointments
No.	%	%	%	No.	%	No.
264,107	87%	2%	11%	38,245	13%	302,352

This table includes re-engagement appointments where reconnection was required following a missed appointment with an employment services provider.

The “not attended” result of “Discretion” is not available to employment services providers for this type of appointment.

3 d – Attendance at Re-engagement Appointments with employment services providers - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

Appointments Attended		Appointments Not Attended: Valid reason	Appointments Not Attended: Invalid reason	Appointments Not Attended: Total		Total Appointments
No.	%	%	%	No.	%	No.
1,078,380	87%	2%	11%	162,292	13%	1,240,672

This table includes re-engagement appointments where reconnection was required following a missed appointment with an employment services provider.

The “not attended” result of “Discretion” is not available to employment services providers for this type of appointment.

4 – Income Support payment suspensions for non-attendance at appointments/activities - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

4 a – Income Support payment suspensions for non-attendance at appointments/activities – 1 April to 30 June 2018

Number of income support payment suspensions for job seekers missing appointment with their provider	Number of income support payment suspensions for job seekers missing appointment with a third party	Number of income support payment suspensions for job seekers following disengagement from an activity	Total Income Support Payment Suspensions
357,353	361	23,810	381,524

This table is a count of all income support payment suspensions applied as a result of providers submitting:

- Non-Attendance Reports for non-attendance at a provider appointment (see note to Table 5a); or
- Participation Reports for non-attendance at a third party appointment (such as an initial appointment with a Work for the Dole Host or Skills for Employment and Education provider) or disengagement from an activity.

Where an income support payment suspension has started it will continue until it is lifted, which is usually when a job seeker attends the appointment.

4 b – Income Support payment suspensions for non-attendance at appointments/activities – 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

Number of income support payment suspensions for job seekers missing appointment with their provider	Number of income support payment suspensions for job seekers missing appointment with a third party	Number of income support payment suspensions for job seekers following disengagement from an activity	Total Income Support Payment Suspensions
1,504,368	1,398	103,655	1,609,421

This table is a count of all income support payment suspensions applied as a result of providers submitting:

- Non-Attendance Reports for non-attendance at a provider appointment (see note to Table 5b); or
- Participation Reports for non-attendance at a third party appointment (such as an initial appointment with a Work for the Dole Host or Skills for Employment and Education provider) or disengagement from an activity.

Where an income support payment suspension has started it will continue until it is lifted, which is usually when a job seeker attends the appointment.

5 – Numbers of Non-Attendance Reports, Participation Reports and Provider Appointment Reports - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

5 a – Numbers of Non-Attendance Reports, Participation Reports and Provider Appointment Reports – 1 April to 30 June 2018

Number of Non-Attendance Reports	Number of Non-Attendance Reports as a % of Non-Attended Appointments without a Valid Reason	Number of Participation Reports and Provider Appointment Reports of PRs
483,001	77.3%	180,148

Non-Attendance Reports may be used by providers, after first attempting to contact the job seeker, to report to the Department of Human Services where a job seeker fails to attend a provider appointment without giving prior notice of a valid reason.

Once received by the Department of Human Services, a Non-Attendance Report will trigger an income support payment suspension which will generally remain in place until the job seeker attends a re-engagement appointment. Submission of a Non-Attendance Report by a provider does not require the Department of Human Services to investigate whether a participation failure has occurred.

“Number of Non-Attendance Reports as a % of non-attended appointments” is the number of submitted Non-Attendance Reports as a proportion of the number of provider appointments not attended during the quarter (excluding where the provider considered the job seeker had a reasonable excuse for their non-attendance - i.e. ‘Valid Reason’).

Provider Appointment Reports were introduced on 1 July 2015. A provider submits a Provider Appointment Report when they want the Department of Human Services to investigate whether a ‘Non-Attendance Failure’ should be applied. In the above table, Provider Appointment Reports have been grouped with Participation Reports for Connection, Reconnection, No Show No Pay and Serious Failures for refusing to accept or commence in a suitable job (i.e. those Participation Reports which are directly submitted by providers to the Department of Human Services for investigation).

Participation Reports can also be submitted for non-appointment related reasons. As such, the number of Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports is not expressed “as a % of non-attended appointments”.

5 b – Numbers of Non-Attendance Reports, Participation Reports and Provider Appointment Reports – 1 June 2017 to 30 June 2018

Number of Non-Attendance Reports	Number of Non-Attendance Reports as a % of Non-Attended Appointments without a Valid Reason	Number of Participation Reports and Provider Appointment Reports of PRs
1,920,298	75.5%	763,920

Non-Attendance Reports may be used by providers, after first attempting to contact the job seeker, to report to the Department of Human Services where a job seeker fails to attend a provider appointment without giving prior notice of a valid reason.

Once received by the Department of Human Services, a Non-Attendance Report will trigger an income support payment suspension which will generally remain in place until the job seeker attends a re-engagement appointment. Submission of a Non-Attendance Report by a provider does not require the Department of Human Services to investigate whether a participation failure has occurred.

“Number of Non-Attendance Reports as a % of non-attended appointments” is the number of submitted Non-Attendance Reports as a proportion of the number of provider appointments not attended during the quarter (excluding where the provider considered the job seeker had a reasonable excuse for their non-attendance - i.e. ‘Valid Reason’).

Provider Appointment Reports were introduced on 1 July 2015. A provider submits a Provider Appointment Report when they want the Department of Human Services to investigate whether a ‘Non-Attendance Failure’ should be applied. In the above table, Provider Appointment Reports have been grouped with Participation Reports for Connection, Reconnection, No Show No Pay and Serious Failures for refusing to accept or commence in a suitable job (i.e. those Participation Reports which are directly submitted by providers to the Department of Human Services for investigation).

Participation Reports can also be submitted for non-appointment related reasons. As such, the number of Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports is not expressed “as a % of non-attended appointments”.

6 – Reasons for providers reporting non-compliance to the Department of Human Services - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

6 a – Reasons for providers reporting non-compliance to the Department of Human Services – 1 April to 30 June 2018

Main reason: Failure to attend provider appointment		Main reason: Failure to attend activity		Total for Main reasons		Total for Other reasons	Total for all reasons
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	%	No.
502,132	76%	131,622	20%	633,754	96%	4%	663,149

This table includes a count of all Non-Attendance Reports, Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports.

6 b – Reasons for providers reporting non-compliance to the Department of Human Services – 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

Main reason: Failure to attend provider appointment		Main reason: Failure to attend activity		Total for Main reasons		Total for Other reasons	Total for all reasons
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	%	No.
2,002,857	75%	553,398	20%	2,556,255	95%	5%	2,684,218

This table includes a count of all Non-Attendance Reports, Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports.

7 – Department of Human Services responses to Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

7 a – Department of Human Services responses to Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Participation Failure imposed (Applied)		Participation Failure not imposed (Rejected)		Total reports
No.	%	No.	%	No.
99,970	55%	80,178	45%	180,148

Participation Reports and Provider Appointment Reports are rejected if the Department of Human Services determines that the job seeker gave prior notice of a reasonable excuse for their failure to attend an appointment or had a reasonable excuse but was unable to give prior notice. Although Non-Attendance Reports are also used to report non-compliance and can be found to be invalid (for example, the job seeker was not on payment at the time of their non-attendance), the Department of Human Services is not required to determine whether or not the job seeker had a reasonable excuse for their non-attendance because no participation failure can be applied. For this reason, Non-Attendance Reports, which are used to report non-attendance at provider appointments, are not included in this table because they do not result in a Participation Failure under the compliance framework and simply delay a job seeker’s income support payment rather than resulting in a penalty.

Figures for “Participation Failure imposed” may differ from figures for “No. of Participation Failures” in Tables 9 and 10a because the above table only includes failures that are reported via a Provider Appointment Report or Participation Report from a provider; that is, Non-Attendance Failures, Connection, Reconnection, No Show No Pay, and Serious Failures for refusing to accept or commence in a suitable job. Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports are not directly submitted for Serious Failures due to persistent non-compliance as these are determined following a Comprehensive Compliance Assessment. Unemployment Non Payment Periods (UNPPs) are also excluded from the table as the majority of UNPPs are initiated by the Department of Human Services prior to the job seeker commencing in employment services. Tables 9 and 10a include Serious Failures for persistent non-compliance.

7 b – Department of Human Services responses to Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

Participation Failure imposed (Applied)		Participation Failure not imposed (Rejected)		Total reports
No.	%	No.	%	No.
416,273	54%	347,647	46%	763,920

Participation Reports and Provider Appointment Reports are rejected if the Department of Human Services determines that the job seeker gave prior notice of a reasonable excuse for their failure to attend an appointment or had a reasonable excuse but was unable to give prior notice. Although Non-Attendance Reports are also used to report non-compliance and can be found to be invalid (for example, the job seeker was not on payment at the time of their non-attendance), the Department of Human Services is not required to determine whether or not the job seeker had a reasonable excuse for their non-attendance because no participation failure can be applied. For this reason, Non-Attendance Reports, which are used to report non-attendance at provider appointments, are not included in this table because they do not result in a Participation Failure under the compliance framework and simply delay a job seeker’s income support payment rather than resulting in a penalty.

Figures for “Participation Failure imposed” may differ from figures for “No. of Participation Failures” in Tables 9 and 10b because the above table only includes failures that are reported via a Provider Appointment Report or Participation Report from a provider; that is, Non-Attendance Failures, Connection, Reconnection, No Show No Pay, and Serious Failures for refusing to accept or commence in a suitable job. Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports are not directly submitted for Serious Failures due to persistent non-compliance as these are determined following a Comprehensive Compliance Assessment. Unemployment Non Payment Periods (UNPPs) are also excluded from the table as the majority of UNPPs are initiated by the Department of Human Services prior to the job seeker commencing in employment services. Tables 9 and 10b include Serious Failures for persistent non-compliance.

7 c – Participation Failures applied by the Department of Human Services due to unacceptable reasons given by job seekers for non-attendance - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Reason Participation Failure Applied	No. Participation Failures	% of All Participation Failures Applied
Job seeker error	14,161	14.2%
Manageable or unproven medical issue	11,958	12.0%
Job seeker chose not to participate	23,225	23.2%
Job seeker denied being notified	2,656	2.7%
Foreseeable or unacceptable activity prevented compliance	3,614	3.6%
Personal matter	6,219	6.2%
Transport difficulties – insufficient to prevent compliance	3,125	3.1%
Cultural/ language issues	554	0.6%
Job seeker considered work offered was unsuitable	20	0.0%
No reason offered	301	0.3%
Reason not recorded	332	0.3%
Reasonable excuse but no prior notice	33,805	33.8%
Total	99,970	100%

This table breaks down the data included in the “Participation Failure imposed’ column of the previous overview table by the reason the job seeker gave for their failure. Reasons are recorded where the failure is for non-attendance at appointments with the Department of Human Services, third party appointments, with employers or for non-attendance at activities.

Non-Attendance Reports, which are used to report non-attendance at provider appointments, are not included in this table because they do not result in a Participation Failure under the compliance framework and simply delay a job seeker’s income support payment rather than resulting in a penalty. Because of this, the job seeker’s reason for non-attendance is not sought or recorded by the Department of Human Services.

The Department of Human Services is required under legislation to determine each case on its merits and to consider whether or not the job seeker’s personal circumstances affected their ability to comply or to give prior notice of their inability to comply for each incidence of non-attendance. This table gives the types of excuses that job seekers provided to the Department of Human Services and which the Department of Human Services did not accept as reasonable in the specific circumstances of each case. In these instances, the Department of Human Services’ decision-maker has determined that the circumstances described by the job seeker did not impact sufficiently on the job seeker’s capacity to attend the appointment or activity at the scheduled time or there is no evidence to support the job seeker’s reason for non-attendance or failure to give prior notice.

Note: Discrepancies may occur between the sum of component percentages and the total percentage, due to rounding.

7 d – Participation Failures applied by the Department of Human Services due to unacceptable reasons given by job seekers for non-attendance - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

Reason Participation Failure Applied	No. Participation Failures	% of All Participation Failures Applied
Job seeker error	61,215	14.7%
Manageable or unproven medical issue	49,294	11.8%
Job seeker chose not to participate	100,953	24.3%
Job seeker denied being notified	11,435	2.7%
Foreseeable or unacceptable activity prevented compliance	15,228	3.7%
Personal matter	24,579	5.9%
Transport difficulties – insufficient to prevent compliance	13,291	3.2%
Cultural/ language issues	2,618	0.6%
Job seeker considered work offered was unsuitable	76	0.0%
No reason offered	1,328	0.3%
Reason not recorded	1,521	0.4%
Reasonable excuse but no prior notice	134,735	32.4%
Total	416,273	100%

This table breaks down the data included in the “Participation Failure imposed’ column of the previous overview table by the reason the job seeker gave for their failure. Reasons are recorded where the failure is for non-attendance at appointments with the Department of Human Services, third party appointments, with employers or for non-attendance at activities.

Non-Attendance Reports, which are used to report non-attendance at provider appointments, are not included in this table because they do not result in a Participation Failure under the compliance framework and simply delay a job seeker’s income support payment rather than resulting in a penalty. Because of this, the job seeker’s reason for non-attendance is not sought or recorded by the Department of Human Services.

The Department of Human Services is required under legislation to determine each case on its merits and to consider whether or not the job seeker’s personal circumstances affected their ability to comply or to give prior notice of their inability to comply for each incidence of non-attendance. This table gives the types of excuses that job seekers provided to the Department of Human Services and which the Department of Human Services did not accept as reasonable in the specific circumstances of each case. In these instances, the Department of Human Services’ decision-maker has determined that the circumstances described by the job seeker did not impact sufficiently on the job seeker’s capacity to attend the appointment or activity at the scheduled time or there is no evidence to support the job seeker’s reason for non-attendance or failure to give prior notice.

Note: Discrepancies may occur between the sum of component percentages and the total percentage, due to rounding.

7 e – Department of Human Services’ reasons for applying Provider Appointment Reports or Participation Reports - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Prior notice not given - (reasonable excuse)		Prior notice not given - (no reasonable excuse)		Prior notice given - but no reasonable excuse		Prior notice not relevant – no reasonable excuse		Total Applied
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.
33,805	34%	45,549	46%	1,500	1%	19,116	19%	99,970

Where a job seeker is unable to attend an appointment or activity they must give prior notice of their reason for not being able to attend, where it is reasonable to expect them to do so. If they fail to do so, a penalty may be applied regardless of the reason for non-attendance. Job seekers can therefore have penalties applied where:

- they failed to give prior notice of a reasonable excuse for not attending an appointment or activity (i.e. columns 1 and 2);
- they gave prior notice but their excuse was not accepted by the Department of Human Services as reasonable (i.e. column 3); or
- where there was no requirement to give prior notice (because the failure did not relate to attendance - for example, a failure to enter into a Job Plan) but the job seeker had no reasonable excuse for their action (i.e. column 4).

Non-Attendance Reports, which are used to report non-attendance at provider appointments (which constitute the bulk of appointment types) are not included in this table because they do not result in a Participation Failure under the compliance framework. Instead they delay a job seeker’s income support payment rather than result in a penalty. Because of this, whether or not the job seeker had a reasonable excuse is not investigated or recorded by the Department of Human Services.

Since 1 July 2015, a provider will submit a Provider Appointment Report if they want to recommend to the Department of Human Services that a penalty be applied for the job seeker’s non-attendance. The Department of Human Services will investigate the job seeker’s non-compliance and determine if a Non-Attendance Failure should be applied.

7 f – Department of Human Services’ reasons for applying Provider Appointment Reports or Participation Reports - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

Prior notice not given - (reasonable excuse)		Prior notice not given - (no reasonable excuse)		Prior notice given - but no reasonable excuse		Prior notice not relevant – no reasonable excuse		Total Applied
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.
134,735	32%	196,904	47%	6,941	2%	77,693	19%	416,273

Where a job seeker is unable to attend an appointment or activity they must give prior notice of their reason for not being able to attend, where it is reasonable to expect them to do so. If they fail to do so, a penalty may be applied regardless of the reason for non-attendance. Job seekers can therefore have penalties applied where:

- they failed to give prior notice of a reasonable excuse for not attending an appointment or activity (i.e. columns 1 and 2);
- they gave prior notice but their excuse was not accepted by the Department of Human Services as reasonable (i.e. column 3); or
- where there was no requirement to give prior notice (because the failure did not relate to attendance - for example, a failure to enter into a Job Plan) but the job seeker had no reasonable excuse for their action (i.e. column 4).

Non-Attendance Reports, which are used to report non-attendance at provider appointments (which constitute the bulk of appointment types) are not included in this table because they do not result in a Participation Failure under the compliance framework. Instead they delay a job seeker’s income support payment rather than result in a penalty. Because of this, whether or not the job seeker had a reasonable excuse is not investigated or recorded by the Department of Human Services.

Since 1 July 2015, a provider will submit a Provider Appointment Report if they want to recommend to the Department of Human Services that a penalty be applied for the job seeker’s non-attendance. The Department of Human Services will investigate the job seeker’s non-compliance and determine if a Non-Attendance Failure should be applied.

7 g – Department of Human Services’ reasons for rejecting Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Job seeker had reasonable excuse		Procedural errors relating to Nature of requirements	Procedural errors relating to Notifying requirements	Procedural errors relating to Submitting Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports	Total Procedural errors		Total Rejections
No.	%	%	%	%	No.	%	No.
37,388	47%	13%	8%	32%	42,790	53%	80,178

Non-Attendance Reports, which are used to report non-attendance at provider appointments where no prior notice of a valid reason was given, are not included in this table because they do not result in a Participation Failure under the compliance framework. Instead they delay a job seeker’s income support payment rather than result in a penalty. Because of this, whether or not the job seeker had a reasonable excuse is not investigated or recorded by the Department of Human Services.

7 h – Department of Human Services’ reasons for rejecting Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

Job seeker had reasonable excuse		Procedural errors relating to Nature of requirements	Procedural errors relating to Notifying requirements	Procedural errors relating to Submitting Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports	Total Procedural errors		Total Rejections
No.	%	%	%	%	No.	%	No.
172,626	50%	12%	9%	29%	175,021	50%	347,647

Non-Attendance Reports, which are used to report non-attendance at provider appointments where no prior notice of a valid reason was given, are not included in this table because they do not result in a Participation Failure under the compliance framework. Instead they delay a job seeker’s income support payment rather than result in a penalty. Because of this, whether or not the job seeker had a reasonable excuse is not investigated or recorded by the Department of Human Services.

7 i – Department of Human Services’ reasons for rejecting Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports: Reasonable Excuse - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Medical reason – A	Medical reason – B	Other acceptable activity	Personal crisis	Caring responsibilities	Homelessness	Transport difficulties	Cultural/language issues	Other	Total rejections for reasonable excuse	
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	No.
6.5%	8.0%	12.1%	7.7%	4.1%	1.4%	1.7%	2.1%	3.1%	47%	37,388

Percentages in this table represent the proportion of all Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports rejected. The sum of the percentages across all columns will equal the “Total reasonable excuse” percentage (refer Table 7g above), rather than adding up to 100%.

Note: Discrepancies may occur between the sum of component percentages and the total percentage, due to rounding.

7 j – Department of Human Services’ reasons for rejecting Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports: Reasonable Excuse - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

Medical reason – A	Medical reason – B	Other acceptable activity	Personal crisis	Caring responsibilities	Homelessness	Transport difficulties	Cultural/language issues	Other	Total rejections for reasonable excuse	
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	No.
7.2%	8.9%	12.0%	8.0%	4.0%	1.5%	1.9%	2.6%	3.5%	50%	172,626

Percentages in this table represent the proportion of all Provider Appointment Reports and Participation Reports rejected. The sum of the percentages across all columns will equal the “Total reasonable excuse” percentage (refer Table 7h above), rather than adding up to 100%.

Note: Discrepancies may occur between the sum of component percentages and the total percentage, due to rounding.

8 – Number of Compliance Reports Submitted per job seeker - as at 30 June 2018

Number of NARs, PRs or PARs per job seeker	Number of all job seekers	% of all job seekers	% of PRs, NARs or PARs
0	474,937	54.6%	N/A
1	122,213	14.0%	6.5%
2	65,012	7.5%	6.9%
3	42,753	4.9%	6.8%
4	31,216	3.6%	6.6%
5+	134,145	15.4%	73.3%
Total	870,276	100%	100%

This table shows the number and percentage of job seekers who have not been reported for non-compliance and the number and percentage that have been reported, either through a Non-Attendance Report (NAR) and/or Participation Report (PR) and/or Provider Appointment Report (PAR), on one or multiple occasions.

A Provider Appointment Report must be preceded by a Non-Attendance Report; however, every Non-Attendance Report may not result in a Provider Appointment Report being submitted by a provider. When a Provider Appointment Report is submitted, the preceding Non-Attendance Report is not counted in the table above.

“No. of all job seekers” and “% of all job seekers” indicate respectively the total number and proportion of all job seekers as at 30 June 2018 who received the specified number of Participation Reports, Provider Appointment Reports and/or Non-Attendance Report over the preceding twelve months.

“% of PRs, NARs, PARs” indicates the percentage of Participation Reports, Provider Appointment Reports and Non-Attendance Reports submitted in relation to each particular cohort of job seekers at 30 June 2018 during the preceding twelve month period (e.g. 73.3 per cent of all compliance reports submitted between 1 July 2017 and 30 June 2018 were submitted in relation to those job seekers who, as at 30 June 2018, had received five or more Participation Reports, Provider Appointment Reports and/or Non-Attendance Reports during the previous 12 months).

Note: Discrepancies may occur between the sum of component percentages and the total percentage, due to rounding.

9 – Applied Participation Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018, and in past 12 months as at 30 June 2018

Number of Participation Failures Applied in Quarter*	Number of job seekers with a Participation Failure applied in past 12 months - as at end of quarter^	% of job seekers with a Participation Failure Applied in past 12 months #
110,226	96,661	11.1%

“Number of Participation Failures” shown include applied Connection, Reconnection, No Show No Pay failures, Non-Attendance failures, and Serious Failures for persistent non-compliance or failing to accept or commence in a suitable job.

Participation Failures are applied where the Department of Human Services has assessed a Participation Report or a Provider Appointment Report and has determined under social security law that the job seeker did not have a reasonable excuse. The Department of Human Services then records the Participation Failure on the job seeker’s record and this may or may not result in the application of a financial penalty, depending on the failure type.

Non-Attendance Reports, like Participation Reports, are a mechanism for providers to report non-compliance. However, the Department of Human Services does not consider reasonable excuse before actioning Non-Attendance Reports and they do not result in the application of a Participation Failure or penalty (only income support payment suspension). Unemployment Non Payment Periods (UNPPs) are also excluded from the table as the majority of UNPPs are initiated by the Department of Human Services prior to a job seeker commencing in employment services.

* Figures for “Number of Participation Failures Applied in Quarter” may differ from the figures for “Participation Failures imposed” in Table 7, as Table 7 does not include Serious Failures for persistent non-compliance.

^ “Number of job seekers with a Participation Failure applied in past 12 months” indicates the total number of job seekers as at the end of the quarter who had at least one Participation Failure applied over the preceding twelve months.

The “% of job seekers with a Participation Failure applied” figure gives the “No. of job seekers with a Participation Failure applied in past 12 months” figure as a proportion of all activity tested job seekers at the end of the quarter (i.e. “Total job seekers” from Table 1).

10 – Types of Participation Failures

10 a – Types of Participation Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Connection Failures		Reconnection Failures		Non-Attendance Failures		No Show No Pay Failures		Serious Failures		Total Failures
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.
17,772	16%	2,997	3%	11,172	10%	67,697	61%	10,588	10%	110,226

“Total Failures” above includes applied Connection failures, Reconnection failures, Non-Attendance failures, No Show No Pay failures, and Serious Failures for refusing to accept or commence a suitable job, and for persistent non-compliance following a Comprehensive Compliance Assessment. Unemployment Non Payment Periods (UNPPs) are excluded as the majority of UNPPs are initiated by the Department of Human Services prior to the job seeker commencing in employment services. For further explanation of the various failure types refer to the Glossary.

10 b – Types of Participation Failures - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

Connection Failures		Reconnection Failures		Non-Attendance Failures		No Show No Pay Failures		Serious Failures		Total Failures
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.
72,692	16%	13,019	3%	46,701	10%	282,340	62%	41,570	9%	456,322

“Total Failures” above includes applied Connection failures, Reconnection failures, Non-Attendance failures, No Show No Pay failures, and Serious Failures for refusing to accept or commence a suitable job, and for persistent non-compliance following a Comprehensive Compliance Assessment. Unemployment Non Payment Periods (UNPPs) are excluded as the majority of UNPPs are initiated by the Department of Human Services prior to the job seeker commencing in employment services. For further explanation of the various failure types refer to the Glossary.

10 c – Types of Participation Failures: Serious Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Persistent non-compliance [^]		Refused Suitable Job		Did Not Commence Suitable Job		Total Serious Failures
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.
10,256	97%	205	2%	127	1%	10,588

[^] The Department of Human Services undertake a Comprehensive Compliance Assessment before determining if a job seeker has persistently failed to comply with their activity test requirements. A number of matters, set out in the *Social Security (Administration) (Persistent Non-compliance) (Employment) Determination 2015 (No. 1)*, assist decision makers in deciding whether a job seeker has been persistently non-compliant.

10 d – Types of Participation Failures: Serious Failures - 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

Persistent non-compliance [^]		Refused Suitable Job		Did Not Commence Suitable Job		Total Serious Failures
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.
40,049	96%	945	2%	576	2%	41,570

[^] The Department of Human Services undertake a Comprehensive Compliance Assessment before determining if a job seeker has persistently failed to comply with their activity test requirements. A number of matters, set out in the *Social Security (Administration) (Persistent Non-compliance) (Employment) Determination 2015 (No. 1)*, assist decision makers in deciding whether a job seeker has been persistently non-compliant.

11 – Outcomes of Comprehensive Compliance Assessments (CCAs) - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

11 a – Outcomes of Comprehensive Compliance Assessments (CCAs) – 1 April to 30 June 2018

Serious Failure for persistent non-compliance		JSCI updated – referral for ESAt	JSCI updated – eligible for higher stream	(Sub-Total) Further assessment/assistance		Other Outcomes	No Outcomes	(Sub-Total) No change in Employment Services Programme or Stream		Total CCA Outcomes
No.	%	No.	No.	No.	%	No.	No.	No.	%	No.
10,256	45%	597	46	643	3%	10,546	1,543	12,089	53%	22,988

Note: A Comprehensive Compliance Assessment (CCA) can result in multiple outcomes but in the above table each CCA is counted only once under whichever outcome is highest within the Hierarchy below. For example, where a CCA recommends both 'Referral for an Employment Services Assessment (ESAt)' and another intervention, the CCA would be counted under 'JSCI- Referral for ESAt'. The Outcome Hierarchy is 1. Serious Failure'; 2. 'JSCI – Referral for ESAt'; 3. 'JSCI – Eligible for higher stream'; 4. 'Other Outcomes'; and 5. 'No Outcomes'.

11 b – Outcomes of Comprehensive Compliance Assessments (CCAs) – 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

Serious Failure for persistent non-compliance		JSCI updated – referral for ESAt	JSCI updated – eligible for higher stream	(Sub-Total) Further assessment/assistance		Other Outcomes	No Outcomes	(Sub-Total) No change in Employment Services Programme or Stream		Total CCA Outcomes
No.	%	No.	No.	No.	%	No.	No.	No.	%	No.
40,049	44%	2,510	149	2,659	3%	41,934	6,200	48,134	53%	90,842

Note: A Comprehensive Compliance Assessment (CCA) can result in multiple outcomes but in the above table each CCA is counted only once under whichever outcome is highest within the Hierarchy below. For example, where a CCA recommends both 'Referral for an Employment Services Assessment (ESAt)' and another intervention, the CCA would be counted under 'JSCI- Referral for ESAt'. The Outcome Hierarchy is 1. Serious Failure'; 2. 'JSCI – Referral for ESAt'; 3. 'JSCI – Eligible for higher stream'; 4. 'Other Outcomes'; and 5. 'No Outcomes'.

12 – Sanctions for Serious Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018 and 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

12 a – Sanctions for Serious Failures – 1 April to 30 June 2018

Non-payment Period		Financial Penalty waived - Compliance Activity		Financial Penalty waived - Financial Hardship		Total Serious Failures
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.
1,318	12%	9,164	87%	106	1%	10,588

“Serious Failures” shown are for refusing to accept or commence a suitable job, and for persistent non-compliance following a Comprehensive Compliance Assessment.

12 b – Sanctions for Serious Failures – 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

Non-payment Period		Financial Penalty waived - Compliance Activity		Financial Penalty waived - Financial Hardship		Total Serious Failures
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.
4,819	12%	36,301	87%	450	1%	41,570

“Serious Failures” shown are for refusing to accept or commence a suitable job, and for persistent non-compliance following a Comprehensive Compliance Assessment.

Part B

13 – Financial Penalties, Connection Failures, Income Support Payment Suspensions and CCAs: by Gender - 1 April to 30 June 2018

13 a – Non-Payment Periods (NPPs) - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Type of NPP (Serious or Unemployment Non Payment Period)	Male	Male %	Female	Female %	Total	Total %	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Voluntary unemployment – UNPP	938	7.21%	500	3.84%	1,438	11.06%	6,684	12.76%
Unemployment due to misconduct – UNPP	742	5.70%	239	1.84%	981	7.54%	4,130	7.88%
Persistent non-compliance – Serious	6,326	48.64%	3,930	30.21%	10,256	78.85%	40,049	76.45%
Did not commence suitable work – Serious	105	0.81%	22	0.17%	127	0.98%	576	1.10%
Refused a suitable job – Serious	156	1.20%	49	0.38%	205	1.58%	945	1.80%
Sub Total - NPPs	8,267	63.56%	4,740	36.44%	13,007	100.00%	52,384	100.00%

Unemployment non-payment periods are generally for eight weeks. However, a person who has received Relocation Assistance to take up a job and voluntarily leaves this job without a reasonable excuse, or is dismissed for misconduct within the first six months, may be subject to a non-payment penalty period of 12 weeks. This penalty may also be applied if the job seeker accepts the job and relocates but does not commence employment.

13 b – Short Term Financial Penalties and Total Financial Penalty Summary - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Type of Short Term Penalty: Non-Attendance (NAF), Reconnection and No-Show No-Pay (NSNP)	Male	Male %	Female	Female %	Total	Total %	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Appointment related failures – Provider (NAF and Reconnection) and DHS (Reconnection)	8,993	10.99%	4,487	5.48%	13,480	16.47%	57,410	16.78%
Other failures to comply with a reconnection requirement that resulted in a financial penalty ^	486	0.59%	203	0.25%	689	0.84%	2,310	0.68%
Failure to attend activity specified in a Job Plan (NSNP)	40,534	49.51%	25,969	31.72%	66,503	81.23%	277,465	81.12%
Failure to attend job interview (NSNP)	495	0.60%	221	0.27%	716	0.87%	2,956	0.86%
Inappropriate conduct in a Job Plan activity (NSNP)	221	0.27%	98	0.12%	319	0.39%	1,313	0.38%
Inappropriate presentation or conduct at job interview (NSNP)	115	0.14%	44	0.05%	159	0.19%	606	0.18%
Sub Total - Short Term Financial Penalties	50,844	62.11%	31,022	37.89%	81,866	100.00%	342,060	100.00%
Total Financial Penalties	59,111	62.31%	35,762	37.69%	94,873	100.00%	394,444	100.00%

^For example, issue of Employment Contact Certificates and some Job Plan failures (Reconnection).

Appointment related failures comprise of financial penalties for non-attendance at a provider or Department of Human Services (including Comprehensive Compliance Assessment) appointment.

Reconnection failures for not entering into a Job Plan can be applied when a job seeker does not attend an appointment with their Provider then refuses to enter into a Job Plan at their re-engagement appointment. This refusal represents the job seekers first refusal to enter into a Job Plan.

13 c – Connection Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Types of Connection Failures	Male	Male %	Female	Female %	Total	Total %	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Failure to attend third party appointment [^]	182	1.02%	76	0.43%	258	1.45%	1,216	1.67%
Failure to attend CCA appointment	1,197	6.74%	711	4.00%	1,908	10.74%	10,685	14.70%
Failure to comply with Job Search requirement in a Job Plan	10,653	59.94%	4,546	25.58%	15,199	85.52%	58,596	80.61%
Failure to enter a Job Plan with provider or Department of Human Services	134	0.75%	53	0.30%	187	1.05%	875	1.20%
Failure to attend Department of Human Services appointment	104	0.59%	116	0.65%	220	1.24%	1,320	1.82%
Total	12,270	69.04%	5,502	30.96%	17,772	100.00%	72,692	100.00%

Failure to attend an initial appointment with a third party, such as Work for the Dole host organisation, can result in a Connection Failure.

[^] Non-attendance at employment services provider appointments is reported through a Non-Attendance Report and results in an income support payment suspension rather than a Connection Failure. Providers can recommend to the Department of Human Services that a financial penalty be applied where they consider the job seeker had no reasonable excuse for non-attendance at the appointment.

13 d – Income Support Payment Suspensions - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Types of Income Support payment suspensions	Male	Male %	Female	Female %	Total	Total %	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Income support payment suspension - non-attendance at appointment	218,571	57.29%	139,143	36.47%	357,714	93.76%	1,505,766	93.56%
Income support payment suspension – disengagement from activity	14,539	3.81%	9,271	2.43%	23,810	6.24%	103,655	6.44%
Total Income Support payment suspensions	233,110	61.10%	148,414	38.90%	381,524	100.00%	1,609,421	100.00%

Income support payment suspensions are applied when a job seeker fails to attend an appointment with their employment services provider and a Non-Attendance Report is submitted, or when a job seeker disengages from an activity and their provider indicates on a No Show No Pay Participation Report that they wish to discuss this with the job seeker.

13 e – Comprehensive Compliance Assessment (CCA) - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Finalised Comprehensive Compliance Assessment Outcome	Male	Male %	Female	Female %	Total	Total %	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
JSCI updated – referral for ESA	352	1.53%	245	1.07%	597	2.60%	2,510	2.76%
JSCI updated – eligible for higher stream	31	0.13%	15	0.07%	46	0.20%	149	0.16%
Persistent non-compliance (Serious Failure)	6,326	27.52%	3,930	17.10%	10,256	44.61%	40,049	44.09%
Other outcomes	6,466	28.13%	4,080	17.75%	10,546	45.88%	41,934	46.16%
No outcomes	999	4.35%	544	2.37%	1,543	6.71%	6,200	6.83%
Total	14,174	61.66%	8,814	38.34%	22,988	100.00%	90,842	100.00%

14 – Financial Penalties, Connection Failures, Income Support Payment Suspensions and CCAs by Indigenous Status - 1 April to 30 June 2018

14 a – Non Payment Periods (NPPs) - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Type of NPP (Serious or Unemployment Non Payment Period)	Indigenous	Indigenous %	Non Indigenous	Non Indigenous %	Total	Total %	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Voluntary unemployment- UNPP	147	1.13%	1,291	9.93%	1,438	11.06%	6,684	12.76%
Unemployment due to misconduct – UNPP	91	0.70%	890	6.84%	981	7.54%	4,130	7.88%
Persistent non-compliance - Serious	7,893	60.68%	2,363	18.17%	10,256	78.85%	40,049	76.45%
Did not commence suitable work - Serious	16	0.12%	111	0.85%	127	0.98%	576	1.10%
Refused a suitable job - Serious	14	0.11%	191	1.47%	205	1.58%	945	1.80%
Sub Total NPPs	8,161	62.74%	4,846	37.26%	13,007	100.00%	52,384	100.00%

Unemployment non-payment periods are generally for eight weeks. However, a person who has received Relocation Assistance to take up a job and voluntarily leaves this job without a reasonable excuse, or is dismissed for misconduct within the first six months, may be subject to a non-payment penalty period of 12 weeks. This penalty may also be applied if the job seeker accepts the job and relocates but does not commence employment.

14 b – Short Term Financial Penalties and Total Financial Penalty Summary - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Type of Short Term Penalty: Non-Attendance (NAF), Reconnection and No-Show No-Pay (NSNP)	Indigenous	Indigenous %	Non Indigenous	Non Indigenous %	Total	Total %	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Appointment related failures – Provider (NAF and Reconnection) and DHS (Reconnection)	3,467	4.23%	10,013	12.23%	13,480	16.47%	57,410	16.78%
Other failures to comply with a reconnection requirement that resulted in a financial penalty [^]	191	0.23%	498	0.61%	689	0.84%	2,310	0.68%
Failure to attend activity specified in a Job Plan (NSNP)	43,984	53.73%	22,519	27.51%	66,503	81.23%	277,465	81.12%
Failure to attend job interview (NSNP)	100	0.12%	616	0.75%	716	0.87%	2,956	0.86%
Inappropriate conduct in a Job Plan activity (NSNP)	38	0.05%	281	0.34%	319	0.39%	1,313	0.38%
Inappropriate presentation or conduct at job interview (NSNP)	7	0.01%	152	0.19%	159	0.19%	606	0.18%
Sub Total - Short Term Financial Penalties	47,787	58.37%	34,079	41.63%	81,866	100.00%	342,060	100.00%
Total Financial Penalties	55,948	58.97%	38,925	41.03%	94,873	100.0%	394,444	100.00%

[^]For example, issue of Employment Contact Certificates and some Job Plan failures (Reconnection).

Appointment related failures comprise of financial penalties for non-attendance at a provider or Department of Human Services (including Comprehensive Compliance Assessment) appointment.

Reconnection failures for not entering into a Job Plan can be applied when a job seeker does not attend an appointment with their Provider then refuses to enter into a Job Plan at their re-engagement appointment. This refusal represents the job seekers first refusal to enter into a Job Plan.

14 c – Connection Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Types of Connection Failures	Indigenous	Indigenous %	Non Indigenous	Non Indigenous %	Total	Total %	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Failure to attend third party appointment ^	78	0.44%	180	1.01%	258	1.45%	1,216	1.67%
Failure to attend CCA appointment	1,245	7.01%	663	3.73%	1,908	10.74%	10,685	14.70%
Failure to comply with Job Search requirement in a Job Plan	2,905	16.35%	12,294	69.18%	15,199	85.52%	58,596	80.61%
Failure to enter a Job Plan with provider or the Department of Human Services	16	0.09%	170	0.96%	186	1.05%	874	1.20%
Failure to attend Department of Human Services appointment	24	0.14%	197	1.11%	221	1.24%	1,321	1.82%
Total	4,268	24.02%	13,504	75.98%	17,772	100.00%	72,692	100.00%

Failure to attend an initial appointment with a third party, such as Work for the Dole host organisation, can result in a Connection Failure.

^ Non-attendance at employment services provider appointments is reported through a Non-Attendance Report and results in an income support payment suspension rather than a Connection Failure. Providers can recommend to the Department of Human Services that a financial penalty be applied where they consider the job seeker had no reasonable excuse for non-attendance at the appointment.

14 d – Income Support Payment Suspensions - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Types of Income Support Payment Suspensions	Indigenous	Indigenous %	Non Indigenous	Non Indigenous %	Total	Total %	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Income support payment suspension – non-attendance at appointment	73,775	19.34%	283,939	74.42%	357,714	93.76%	1,505,766	93.56%
Income support payment suspension – disengagement from activity	11,821	3.10%	11,989	3.14%	23,810	6.24%	103,655	6.44%
Total Income Support payment suspensions	85,596	22.44%	295,928	77.56%	381,524	100.00%	1,609,421	100.00%

Income support payment suspensions are applied when a job seeker fails to attend an appointment with their employment services provider and a Non-Attendance Report is submitted, or when a job seeker disengages from an activity and their provider indicates on a No Show No Pay Participation Report that they wish to discuss this with the job seeker.

14 e – Comprehensive Compliance Assessments - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Finalised CCA Outcome	Indigenous	Indigenous %	Non Indigenous	Non Indigenous %	Total	Total %	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
JSCI updated – referral for ESAAt	164	0.71%	433	1.88%	597	2.60%	2,510	2.76%
JSCI updated – eligible for higher stream	8	0.03%	38	0.17%	46	0.20%	149	0.16%
Persistent non-compliance (Serious Failure)	7,893	34.34%	2,363	10.28%	10,256	44.61%	40,049	44.09%
Other outcomes	6,190	26.93%	4,356	18.95%	10,546	45.88%	41,934	46.16%
No outcomes	909	3.95%	634	2.76%	1,543	6.71%	6,200	6.83%
Total	15,164	65.96%	7,824	34.04%	22,988	100.00%	90,842	100.00%

15 – Financial Penalties, Connection Failures, Income Support Payment Suspensions and CCAs by Age Group - 1 April to 30 June 2018

15 a – Non-Payment Periods (NPPs) - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Type of NPP (Serious or Unemployment Non Payment Period)	Under 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 54	55 +	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Voluntary unemployment – UNPP	125	561	323	315	114	1,438	6,684	12.76%
Unemployment due to misconduct – UNPP	68	348	265	231	69	981	4,130	7.88%
Persistent non-compliance – Serious	987	4,541	2,943	1,713	72	10,256	40,049	76.45%
Did not commence suitable work – Serious	5	42	40	35	5	127	576	1.10%
Refused a suitable job – Serious	23	81	47	36	18	205	945	1.80%
Sub Total - NPPs	1,208	5,573	3,618	2,330	278	13,007	52,384	100.00%

Unemployment non-payment periods are generally for eight weeks. However, a person who has received Relocation Assistance to take up a job and voluntarily leaves this job without a reasonable excuse, or is dismissed for misconduct within the first six months, may be subject to a non-payment penalty period of 12 weeks. This penalty may also be applied if the job seeker accepts the job and relocates but does not commence employment.

15 b – Short Term Financial Penalties and Total Financial Penalty Summary - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Type of Short Term Penalty: Non-Attendance (NAF), Reconnection and No Show No Pay (NSNP)	Under 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 54	55 +	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Appointment related failures – Provider (NAF and Reconnection) and DHS (Reconnection)	2,137	5,791	3,358	1,985	209	13,480	57,410	16.78%
Other failures to comply with a reconnection requirement that resulted in a financial penalty ^	112	316	143	94	24	689	2,310	0.68%
Failure to attend activity specified in a Job Plan (NSNP)	7,871	27,428	17,724	12,478	1,002	66,503	277,465	81.12%
Failure to attend job interview (NSNP)	106	301	163	126	20	716	2,956	0.86%
Inappropriate conduct in a Job Plan activity (NSNP)	45	115	45	94	20	319	1,313	0.38%
Inappropriate presentation or conduct at job interview (NSNP)	13	54	25	43	24	159	606	0.18%
Sub Total Short Term Financial Penalties	10,284	34,005	21,458	14,820	1,299	81,866	342,060	100.00%
Total Financial Penalties	11,492	39,578	25,076	17,150	1,577	94,873	394,444	100.00%

^For example, issue of Employment Contact Certificates and some Job Plan failures (Reconnection).

Appointment related failures comprise of financial penalties for non-attendance at a provider or Department of Human Services (including Comprehensive Compliance Assessment) appointment.

Reconnection failures for not entering into a Job Plan can be applied when a job seeker does not attend an appointment with their Provider then refuses to enter into a Job Plan at their re-engagement appointment. This refusal represents the job seekers first refusal to enter into a Job Plan.

15 c – Connection Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Types of Connection Failures	Under 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 54	55 +	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Failure to attend third party appointment [^]	37	103	69	40	9	258	1,216	1.67%
Failure to attend CCA appointment	242	776	544	322	24	1,908	10,685	14.70%
Failure to comply with Job Search requirement in a Job Plan	1,637	6,223	3,623	2,961	755	15,199	58,596	80.61%
Failure to enter a Job Plan with provider or the Department of Human Services	11	54	42	53	26	186	874	1.20%
Failure to attend Department of Human Services appointment	6	50	56	78	31	221	1,321	1.82%
Total	1,933	7,206	4,334	3,454	845	17,772	72,692	100.00%

Failure to attend an initial appointment with a third party, such as Work for the Dole host organisation, can result in a Connection Failure.

[^] Non-attendance at employment services provider appointments is reported through a Non-Attendance Report and results in an income support payment suspension rather than a Connection Failure. Providers can recommend to the Department of Human Services that a financial penalty be applied where they consider the job seeker had no reasonable excuse for non-attendance at the appointment.

15 d – Income Support Payment Suspensions - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Types Income Support Payment Suspensions	Under 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 54	55 +	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Income Support Payment Suspension –non-attendance at appointment	45,127	124,705	89,965	79,596	18,321	357,714	1,505,766	93.56%
Income Support Payment Suspension – disengagement from activity	2,710	8,798	6,341	5,331	630	23,810	103,655	6.44%
Total Income Support Payment Suspensions	47,837	133,503	96,306	84,927	18,951	381,524	1,609,421	100.00%

Income support payment suspensions are applied when a job seeker fails to attend an appointment with their employment services provider and a Non-Attendance Report is submitted, or when a job seeker disengages from an activity and their provider indicates on a No Show No Pay Participation Report that they wish to discuss this with the job seeker.

15 e – Comprehensive Compliance Assessments - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Finalised CCA Outcome	Under 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 54	55 +	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
JSCI updated - referral for ESA	163	239	109	np	np	597	2,510	2.76%
JSCI updated - eligible for higher stream	8	22	9	np	<5	46	149	0.16%
Persistent non-compliance (Serious Failure)	987	4,541	2,943	1,713	72	10,256	40,049	44.09%
Other outcomes	1,613	4,272	2,611	1,888	162	10,546	41,934	46.16%
No outcomes	45	691	460	317	30	1,543	6,200	6.83%
Total	2,816	9,765	6,132	4,002	273	22,988	90,842	100.00%

16 – Financial Penalties, Connection Failures, Income Support Payment Suspensions and CCAs by Allowance Types - 1 April to 30 June 2018

16 a – Non-Payment Periods (NPPs) - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Type of NPP (Serious or Unemployment Non Payment)	NSA[^]	YAL	PPS	Not on allowance	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Voluntary unemployment – UNPP	279	np	<5	1,089	1,438	6,684	12.76%
Unemployment due to misconduct – UNPP	181	np	<5	762	981	4,130	7.88%
Persistent non-compliance – Serious	8,662	1,453	141	0	10,256	40,049	76.45%
Did not commence suitable work – Serious	116	np	<5	0	127	576	1.10%
Refused a suitable job – Serious	166	np	<5	0	205	945	1.80%
Sub Total NPPs	9,404	1,600	152	1,851	13,007	52,384	100.00%

[^] Due to the small number of Activity Tested recipients of Special Benefit (SpB), these job seekers are included under the Newstart Allowance (NSA) column in Table 16.

Unemployment non-payment periods are generally for eight weeks. However, a person who has received Relocation Assistance to take up a job and voluntarily leaves this job without a reasonable excuse, or is dismissed for misconduct within the first six months, may be subject to a non-payment penalty period of 12 weeks. This penalty may also be applied if the job seeker accepts the job and relocates but does not commence employment.

16 b – Short Term Financial Penalties and Total Financial Penalty Summary - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Type of Short Term Penalty: Non-Attendance (NAF), Reconnection and No Show No Pay (NSNP)	NSA	YAL	PPS	Not on allowance	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Appointment related failures – Provider (NAF and Reconnection) and DHS (Reconnection)	10,204	2,998	278	0	13,480	57,410	16.78%
Other failures to comply with a reconnection requirement that resulted in a financial penalty^	526	163	0	0	689	2,310	0.68%
Failure to attend activity specified in a Job Plan (NSNP)	54,184	11,110	1,209	0	66,503	277,465	81.12%
Failure to attend job interview (NSNP)	560	145	11	0	716	2,956	0.86%
Inappropriate conduct in a Job Plan activity (NSNP)	248	66	5	0	319	1,313	0.38%
Inappropriate presentation or conduct at job interview (NSNP)	140	19	0	0	159	606	0.18%
Sub Total Short Term Financial Penalties	65,862	14,501	1,503	0	81,866	342,060	100.00%
Total Financial Penalties	75,266	16,101	1,655	1,851	94,873	394,444	100.00%

^For example, issue of Employment Contact Certificates and some Job Plan failures (Reconnection).

Appointment related failures comprise of financial penalties for non-attendance at a provider or Department of Human Services (including Comprehensive Compliance Assessment) appointment.

Reconnection failures for not entering into a Job Plan can be applied when a job seeker does not attend an appointment with their Provider then refuses to enter into a Job Plan at their re-engagement appointment. This refusal represents the job seekers first refusal to enter into a Job Plan.

16 c – Connection Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Types of Connection Failures	NSA	YAL	PPS	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Failure to attend third party appointment [^]	198	np	<5	258	1,216	1.67%
Failure to attend CCA appointment	1,537	339	32	1,908	10,685	14.70%
Failure to comply with Job Search requirement in a Job Plan	12,791	2,408	0	15,199	58,596	80.61%
Failure to enter a Job Plan with provider or the Department of Human Services	164	np	<5	186	874	1.20%
Failure to attend Department of Human Services appointment	197	12	12	221	1,321	1.82%
Total	14,887	2,835	50	17,772	72,692	100.00%

Failure to attend an initial appointment with a third party, such as Work for the Dole host organisation, can result in a Connection Failure.

[^] Non-attendance at employment services provider appointments is reported through a Non-Attendance Report and results in an income support payment suspension rather than a Connection Failure. Providers can recommend to the Department of Human Services that a financial penalty be applied where they consider the job seeker had no reasonable excuse for non-attendance at the appointment.

16 d – Income Support payment suspensions - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Types of Income Support payment suspensions	NSA	YAL	PPS	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Income support payment suspension - non-attendance at appointment	296,540	57,574	3,600	357,714	1,505,766	93.56%
Income support payment suspension – disengagement from activity	20,110	3,509	191	23,810	103,655	6.44%
Total Income Support payment suspensions	316,650	61,083	3,791	381,524	1,609,421	100.00%

Income support payment suspensions are applied when a job seeker fails to attend an appointment with their employment services provider and a Non-Attendance Report is submitted, or when a job seeker disengages from an activity and their provider indicates on a No Show No Pay Participation Report that they wish to discuss this with the job seeker.

16 e – Comprehensive Compliance Assessments - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Finalised Comprehensive Compliance Assessment Outcome	NSA	YAL	PPS	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
JSCI updated - referral for ESA	383	np	<5	597	2,510	2.76%
JSCI updated - eligible for higher stream	30	np	<5	46	149	0.16%
Persistent non-compliance (Serious Failure)	8,662	1,453	141	10,256	40,049	44.09%
Other outcomes	8,288	2,087	171	10,546	41,934	46.16%
No outcomes	1,449	55	39	1,543	6,200	6.83%
Total	18,812	3,820	356	22,988	90,842	100.00%

17 – Financial Penalties, Connection Failures, Income Support Payment Suspensions and CCAs by Employment Services - 1 April to 30 June 2018

17 a – Non Payment Periods (NPPs) - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Type of NPP (Serious or Unemployment Non Payment)	jobactive Stream A	jobactive Stream B	jobactive Stream C	DES	CDP	Not in Employment Services	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Voluntary unemployment – UNPP	328	148	np	28	np	852	1,438	6,684	12.76%
Unemployment due to misconduct – UNPP	185	99	np	19	<5	615	981	4,130	7.88%
Persistent non-compliance – Serious	433	1,020	416	15	8,372	0	10,256	40,049	76.45%
Did not commence suitable work – Serious	41	52	27	7	0	0	127	576	1.10%
Refused a suitable job – Serious	76	81	32	16	0	0	205	945	1.80%
Sub Total NPPs	1,063	1,400	603	85	8,389	1,467	13,007	52,384	100.00%

Unemployment non-payment periods are generally for eight weeks. However, a person who has received Relocation Assistance to take up a job and voluntarily leaves this job without a reasonable excuse, or is dismissed for misconduct within the first six months, may be subject to a non-payment penalty period of 12 weeks. This penalty may also be applied if the job seeker accepts the job and relocates but does not commence employment.

17 b – Short Term Financial Penalties and Total Financial Penalty Summary - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Type of Short Term Penalty: Non-Attendance (NAF), Reconnection and No Show No Pay (NSNP)	jobactive Stream A	jobactive Stream B	jobactive Stream C	DES	CDP	Not in Employment Services	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Appointment related failures – Provider (NAF and Reconnection) and DHS (Reconnection)	3,863	5,929	3,065	465	158	0	13,480	57,410	16.78%
Other failures to comply with a reconnection requirement that resulted in a financial penalty [^]	268	312	np	<5	5	0	689	2,310	0.68%
Failure to attend activity specified in a Job Plan (NSNP)	5,808	10,751	4,329	184	45,431	0	66,503	277,465	81.12%
Failure to attend job interview (NSNP)	223	311	124	58	0	0	716	2,956	0.86%
Inappropriate conduct in a Job Plan activity (NSNP)	82	136	62	30	9	0	319	1,313	0.38%
Inappropriate presentation or conduct at job interview (NSNP)	56	67	np	np	0	0	159	606	0.18%
Sub Total Short Term Financial Penalties	10,300	17,506	7,704	753	45,603	0	81,866	342,060	100.00%
Total Financial Penalties	11,363	18,906	8,307	838	53,992	1,467	94,873	394,444	100.00%

[^]For example, issue of Employment Contact Certificates and some Job Plan failures (Reconnection).

Appointment related failures comprise of financial penalties for non-attendance at a provider or Department of Human Services (including Comprehensive Compliance Assessment) appointment.

Reconnection failures for not entering into a Job Plan can be applied when a job seeker does not attend an appointment with their Provider then refuses to enter into a Job Plan at their re-engagement appointment. This refusal represents the job seekers first refusal to enter into a Job Plan.

17 c – Connection Failures - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Types of Connection Failures	jobactive Stream A	jobactive Stream B	jobactive Stream C	DES	CDP	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Failure to attend third party appointment [^]	43	116	62	np	<5	258	1,216	1.67%
Failure to attend CCA appointment	135	404	187	5	1,177	1,908	10,685	14.70%
Failure to comply with Job Search requirement in a Job Plan	6,588	5,356	2,807	np	<5	15,199	58,596	80.61%
Failure to enter a Job Plan with provider or the Department of Human Services	58	63	38	np	<5	186	874	1.20%
Failure to attend Department of Human Services appointment	69	38	75	np	<5	221	1,321	1.82%
Total	6,893	5,977	3,169	546	1,187	17,772	72,692	100.00%

Failure to attend an initial appointment with a third party, such as Work for the Dole host organisation, can result in a Connection Failure.

[^] Non-attendance at employment services provider appointments is reported through a Non-Attendance Report and results in an income support payment suspension rather than a Connection Failure. Providers can recommend to the Department of Human Services that a financial penalty be applied where they consider the job seeker had no reasonable excuse for non-attendance at the appointment.

17 d – Income Support Payment Suspensions - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Types of Income Support payment suspensions	jobactive Stream A	jobactive Stream B	jobactive Stream C	DES	CDP	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
Income support payment suspension - non-attendance at appointment	120,014	128,065	66,491	27,953	15,191	357,714	1,505,766	93.56%
Income support payment suspension – disengagement from activity	3,980	6,202	2,528	114	10,986	23,810	103,655	6.44%
Total Income Support payment suspensions	123,994	134,267	69,019	28,067	26,177	381,524	1,609,421	100.00%

Income support payment suspensions are applied when a job seeker fails to attend an appointment with their employment services provider and a Non-Attendance Report is submitted, or when a job seeker disengages from an activity and their provider indicates on a No Show No Pay Participation Report that they wish to discuss this with the job seeker.

17 e – Comprehensive Compliance Assessments (CCA) - 1 April to 30 June 2018

Finalised Comprehensive Compliance Assessment Outcome	jobactive Stream A	jobactive Stream B	jobactive Stream C	DES	CDP	Total	Financial YTD	Financial YTD%
JSCI updated – referral for ESA _t	144	285	np	np	72	597	2,510	2.76%
JSCI updated – eligible for higher stream	17	27	<5	np	0	46	149	0.16%
Persistent non-compliance (Serious Failure)	433	1,020	416	15	8,372	10,256	40,049	44.09%
Other outcomes	1,272	2,361	1,136	87	5,690	10,546	41,934	46.16%
No outcomes	219	277	110	10	927	1,543	6,200	6.83%
Total	2,085	3,970	1,754	118	15,061	22,988	90,842	100.00%

Glossary

Active job seekers - job seekers on activity-tested income support payments that are currently active in employment services. These job seekers meet their mutual obligation requirements for income support through activities such as: attending provider appointments; undertaking activities to help them become more job ready; and looking for work.

Approved activity – job seekers fully meeting their activity test requirements through doing a sufficient amount of approved activities, such as part-time work or education, for a specified period (e.g. Principal Carer Parents undertaking 30 hours a fortnight of paid work and/or study). These job seekers do not have to use employment services for the period they are doing a sufficient amount of approved activity or activities.

Caring responsibilities - means that the Department of Human Services determined the job seeker had caring responsibilities preventing them from complying with the requirement (for example, caring for a sick dependant or relative).

Comprehensive Compliance Assessment (CCA) - must be conducted before a job seeker can have a penalty applied for persistent non-compliance. A CCA is conducted where a job seeker has:

three (3) applied failures as a result of failing to attend an appointment, enter into a Job Plan or satisfactorily meet their Job Search Requirement within a six-month period; or

three (3) days of applied No Show No Pay penalties, within a six-month period.

A CCA can also be requested at any time by either an employment services provider or the Department of Human Services if a job seeker is failing to meet their activity test requirements to determine why the job seeker is failing to meet their requirements.

Compliance Activity - the non-payment period was waived due to the job seeker agreeing to undertake a Compliance Activity involving weekly participation typically of 25 hours.

Connection Failures occur when a job seeker, without reasonable excuse:

does not attend an initial appointment with a third party provider (e.g. a Work for the Dole host organisation or training provider – not an employment services provider); refuses to enter into a Job Plan; fails to meet a job search requirement in their Job Plan. Job seekers do not incur financial penalties if they have a Connection Failure applied.

Cultural / language issues - means that the Department of Human Services has determined cultural diversity, language, literacy or numeracy issues prevented the job seeker from being able to understand or comply with the requirement. The impact of these factors must be considered by decision-makers in setting requirements and determining failures.

Discretion - means that the provider considers the job seeker did not have a reasonable excuse for not attending the appointment but they have nonetheless decided not to submit a Non-Attendance Report to the Department of Human Services and are instead using another method to re-engage the job seeker (e.g. booking a new appointment for the job seeker).

Explanatory Notes - this document can be found on the Department of Jobs and Small Business website and provides further information on job seeker compliance penalties.

Failure to attend activity - means failure to attend an activity specified as a compulsory term in a Job Plan.

Financial Hardship - means that the non-payment period was waived due to the job seeker being unable to undertake a Compliance Activity and having liquid assets below a specified amount.

Financial Penalties - a job seeker can incur a non-payment period for persistent and wilful non-compliance or for refusing an offer of suitable work, for voluntarily leaving work or being dismissed for misconduct. A No Show No Pay penalty can be applied for failing to attend activities within the Job Plan, or for failing to attend a job interview. A reconnection penalty can be applied for failing to attend a reconnection appointment, or for failing to return a satisfactory Job Seeker Diary.

Foreseeable or unacceptable activity prevented compliance - the job seeker claimed to have been undertaking other acceptable activities at the time of the requirement, such as a legal commitment (e.g. attending court), attending a job interview or working. If a failure is applied in these circumstances it means the decision-maker was not satisfied that the timing of the other activity would have prevented attendance at the appointment or activity or the decision maker did not believe the other activity occurred. In the case of a job seeker claiming to have been working, it may mean that the job seeker did not provide evidence to verify this or declare any earnings.

Homelessness - means that the Department of Human Services determined a job seeker's homelessness prevented the job seeker from being able to comply with the requirement.

Income Support Payment suspensions - are applied when a job seeker fails to attend an appointment with their employment provider or when a provider advises the Department of Human Services that a job seeker has disengaged from an activity. As payment is restored once the job seeker attends a re-engagement appointment, payment suspension is not a failure or financial penalty under the compliance framework. A failure and/or penalty may be separately applied where the Department of Human Services determines that the job seeker had no reasonable excuse for their non-attendance or failed to give prior notice of a reasonable excuse when it was reasonable to expect them to do so.

Invalid reason - means that the provider considers the job seeker did not have a reasonable excuse for not attending the appointment, or they have been unable to make contact with the job seeker. If a provider records a result of 'invalid reason', they will submit a Non-Attendance Report to the Department of Human Services. Where the Non-Attendance Report is not successfully submitted to the Department of Human Services, the 'invalid' reason result will be automatically updated to a 'discretion' result.

Job seeker chose not to participate - the job seeker did not want to attend an appointment or activity because the time was not convenient for the job seeker, because the job seeker did not see value in attending or because they indicated that they did not care whether they attended or not.

Job seeker considered work offered was unsuitable - the job seeker failed to attend a job interview because, for example, they did not like the prospective job, did not think they would have the necessary skills or did not think it would pay enough. Before applying a failure in these circumstances, the Department of Human Services decision-makers are required under social security law to ensure, among other things, that the prospective job met the applicable statutory conditions relating to wages and conditions and that the job seeker had the skills to do the work or would have been given appropriate training by the employer to enable them to do the work.

Job seeker denied being notified - the job seeker believed they were not notified of the requirement. The Department of Human Services must be satisfied that the job seeker was properly notified of their requirement before a failure can be applied. In these cases, the Department of Human Services was satisfied that this had occurred and found no reason to accept the job seeker's explanation.

Job seeker error - the job seeker got the time or date of a requirement wrong, they slept in or forgot to attend.

Job seeker had reasonable excuse - means that the Department of Human Services determined the job seeker had a reasonable excuse for failing to comply with the requirement and therefore a Participation Failure should not be applied.

JSCI – Eligible for higher stream - means a job seeker had their JSCI updated and the outcome of the JSCI was for the job seeker to be referred to a higher stream of service in the jobactive system.

JSCI - Referral for ESAt - means a job seeker had their JSCI updated and the outcome of the JSCI was for the job seeker to be referred to an Employment Services Assessment. Employment Services Assessments superseded Job Capacity Assessments from 1 October 2011.

Manageable or unproven medical issue - the job seeker indicated a medical condition prevented their attendance, but their explanation was not accepted as reasonable. This will generally be because the job seeker did not appear ill or provide evidence of their illness, or where evidence was provided but the condition was manageable and should not have prevented attendance at the time of the appointment or activity. This category also covers situations where the excuse relates to substance or alcohol use or mental health issues but where the decision-maker has determined that in this instance the person's condition would not have prevented compliance.

Medical reason A - means that the Department of Human Services determined a medical reason prevented the job seeker from complying with the requirement but the job seeker did not provide specific evidence relating to this particular incident. Included in this category are instances where the job seeker had previously provided evidence of the medical condition or it was not considered reasonable or necessary for the job seeker to attend a doctor.

Medical reason B - means that the Department of Human Services determined a medical reason prevented the job seeker from complying with the requirement and the job seeker provided specific evidence relating to the particular incident.

Nature of requirements - means that the Department of Human Services determined the requirement with which the job seeker did not comply was not reasonable or appropriate to the circumstances of the job seeker. This includes, for example, where a job seeker was referred to an unsuitable activity, where attendance required an unreasonable travel distance or where a job did not meet minimum work conditions or enable a job seeker to arrange or access childcare.

Non-Attendance Failure (NAF) - is applied when a job seeker has no reasonable excuse for not attending their initial appointment or fails to give prior notice of a reasonable excuse if it was reasonable to expect them to do so. A Non-Attendance Failure results in a loss of one-tenth of the job seeker's fortnightly income support payment for each business day for the day the job seeker was notified until the day the job seeker attends.

Non-Attendance Report - is submitted by an employment services provider when a job seeker fails to attend a regular provider appointment. The Non-Attendance Report replaced the Connection Failure Participation Report which was used to report this type of non-attendance from 1 July 2014.

No change in Employment Services Program or Stream - means there has been no recommendation to change the job seeker's Employment Services Programme or Stream. CCAs in this category can recommend one or more outcomes that can be undertaken or arranged by the job seeker's current provider or they may not recommend any particular action.

No Outcomes - there were no outcomes or other action recommended by the Department of Human Services as part of the CCA. This means that the Department of Human Services specialist officer who conducted the CCA found that the job seeker had no barriers to participation that warranted a specific sort of intervention but that there were insufficient grounds to determine that the job seeker had been persistently and deliberately non-compliant.

No reason offered - the job seeker did not offer a reason for their non-attendance.

No Show No Pay (NSNP) Failure - may be applied if the job seeker has failed to either attend or behave appropriately at an activity in the Job Plan, or attend or behave appropriately at a job interview with a prospective employer. Following an investigation by DHS into the non-compliance, the job seeker may lose one-tenth of their fortnightly income support payment for every day they do not participate and did not have a reasonable excuse.

Notifying requirements - means that the Department of Human Services determined the job seeker did not receive notification, was not notified correctly or was not given enough time to meet their requirement. This includes, for example, instances where mail may have gone astray or the job seeker had no permanent residence for mail to be sent to.

NSA - New Start Allowance.

Number of job seekers with a Vulnerability Indicator - means job seekers who, at the end of the quarter, had one or more Vulnerability Indicators on their record.

Other - includes all other Participation Reports or Provider Appointment Reports rejected on the grounds that the job seeker had a reasonable excuse for not complying (for example, a police restriction, community service order or legal appointment).

Other acceptable activity - means that the Department of Human Services determined the job seeker was participating in an activity that made it acceptable not to meet the requirement (for example, undertaking paid work, attending an interview, etc.).

Other job seekers – job seekers that do not currently have to use employment services because they have a ‘Temporary exemption’, ‘Reduced work capacity’ or are undertaking an ‘Approved activity’. These job seekers can use employment services voluntarily, but are not required to do so.

Other Outcomes - includes any sort of recommended outcome that does not involve a change of Employment Services Programme or Stream and can therefore be undertaken or arranged by the job seeker’s current provider. These include suggested changes to the job seeker’s Job Plan to include any vocational or non-vocational activities designed to help the job seeker to become more job-ready (e.g. a referral for housing assistance or literacy and numeracy training).

Personal crisis - means that the Department of Human Services determined a personal crisis prevented the job seeker from complying with the requirement (for example, a bereavement of a family member).

Personal matter - the job seeker indicated that they had personal relationship issues, caring responsibilities, difficulties with accommodation or bereavement following the death of a friend, relative or pet. While such circumstances can impact on a job seeker’s capacity to comply, the Department of Human Services decision-maker found that they did not do so in these instances.

PPS - Parenting Payment Single.

Provider Appointment Report - is submitted by providers when they want a job seeker’s income support payment suspension to remain and to recommend to the Department of Human Services that a financial penalty be applied.

Reason not recorded - are failures that are not attendance-related. While the job seeker’s reason for non-compliance must be considered before the failure can be applied, it is not recorded in a way which can be easily extracted for the purposes of this data.

Reasonable excuse but no prior notice - the job seeker had a reasonable excuse for not attending their appointment but failed to give prior notice of their inability to attend an appointment when it would have been reasonable to expect them to do so.

Reconnection Failure - may be applied as a result of a provider submitting a Provider Appointment Report (PAR) for non-attendance at a Re-engagement appointment or if the provider reports to DHS that a job seeker fails to meet another reconnection requirement without a reasonable excuse. A Reconnection Failure results in loss of payment from the date of the failure until the day the job seeker meets a further reconnection requirement.

Reduced work capacity - job seekers with an assessed temporary or partial reduced work capacity of 0-14 hours a week do not have to be in employment services, and are able to fully satisfy their activity test requirements through a quarterly interview with the Department of Human Services.

Serious Failure - may be applied for either refusing a suitable job offer, failing to commence in a suitable job (after having accepted it); or persistent and wilful non-compliance (can only be applied following a Comprehensive Compliance Assessment to ensure that the job seeker's behaviour is not the result of unidentified barriers to participation beyond their control).

Submitting PRs/PARs - means that the Department of Human Services rejected the Participation Report or Provider Appointment Report on the grounds that it was not valid. This includes, for example, where the report was submitted for a period during which the job seeker had an exemption or was not receiving any payments; it was submitted for a requirement not contained in the Job Plan; or the report was filled out incorrectly containing the wrong code or date of incident.

Temporary exemption - job seekers can be granted an exemption by the Department of Human Services, for a specified period of time, from complying with their requirements. Exemptions are granted if the job seeker does not have the capacity to undertake mutual obligation requirements due to the impact of personal or other circumstances beyond their control (e.g. temporary medical incapacity). Job seekers do not have to use employment services for the duration of their exemption.

The Department of Human Services – From 1 July 2011, Centrelink became part of the Department of Human Services. Data releases dated prior to 1 July 2011 may refer to Centrelink instead of the Department of Human Services.

Total job seekers - are all people receiving an income support payment with mutual obligation requirements (but excluding recipients of Disability Support pension). It comprises 'Active job seekers' who currently need to use employment services (i.e. jobactive, Disability Employment Services (DES), the Community Development Programme (CDP) or the Transition to Work (TTW) Service), as well as 'Other job seekers' who have a 'Temporary exemption', 'Reduced work capacity' or are undertaking an 'Approved activity'.

Transport difficulties - means that the Department of Human Services determined unforeseeable transport difficulties prevented the job seeker from complying with the requirement (for example, a car breaking down or public transport services being cancelled or disrupted).

Valid reason - means that the provider considers the job seeker had a reasonable excuse for not attending the appointment.

Vulnerability Indicator- means that a job seeker has a diagnosed condition or personal circumstance (e.g. homelessness, mental illness) that may currently impact on their capacity to comply with activity test requirements, although it does not exempt a job seeker from these requirements.

YAL - Youth Allowance.

Notes:

The above tables show all compliance actions that were applied or finalised during the fourth quarter of the 2017 - 18 financial year (i.e. applied/finalised in the period 1/4/2018 – 30/06/2018 inclusive) or during the 2017-18 financial year (i.e. applied/finalised in the period 1/7/17 – 30/6/18 inclusive) and not under review, revoked or otherwise overturned as at 14 August 2018. This lag is to allow for reviews and appeals to be finalised.

The tables in Part B exclude failures that were submitted and subsequently rejected due to the job seeker not being in receipt of income support payment at the time of the failure, a Comprehensive Compliance Assessment had been triggered at the time of the failure, the job seeker's record was cancelled or the provider withdrew the Participation Report.

The Allowance Type breakdown refers to the payment type that a job seeker was in receipt of at the time of the compliance action i.e. Newstart Allowance (NSA), Youth Allowance (YAL) & Parenting Payment Single (PPS).

Where very small numbers of compliance actions (less than 5) of a particular type occur, the actual number is not published. An 'np' is used where the <5 can be derived through totals or other values.

Many of the tables include financial year to date figures. However, there are some tables that do not include financial year to date figures due to the way the data is captured.

This data was extracted by the Department of Social Services, sourcing information through the Employment Business Intelligence Warehouse.